

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/604,304	07/09/2003	BRUCE PRESTON WILLIAMS	202-1228	1303
7590 11/08/2005			EXAMINER	
Artz & Artz, F			PHAN, H	AU VAN
28333 Telegrap	h Road			
Suite 250			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Southdield, MI 48034		3618		

DATE MAILED: 11/08/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action					
Before	the	Filing	of an	Appeal	Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/604,304	WILLIAMS, BRUCE PRESTON		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Hau V. Phan	3618		

Advisory Action	10/604,304	WILLIAMS, BRUCE	PRESTON			
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Hau V. Phan	3618				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress			
• •		•				
HE REPLY FILED 26 October 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the						
following time periods:  a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing of the period for reply expiresmonths.	date of the final rejection					
b) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the infarrejection.  The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.  Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO						
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(i		NOT KET ET WAS TIEEL	3 WIII III W			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened st above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three month earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	and the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the is after the mailing date of the final rejection	The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2) on, even if timely filed, ma	n fee under 37 as set forth in (b) y reduce any			
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any e Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>	extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e))	), to avoid dismissal d	of the appeal.			
<ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,</li> </ol>	but prior to the date of filing a brie	f, will not be entered I	because			
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co	onsideration and/or search (see NO					
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) They are not deemed to place the application in be		educina or simplifvina	the issues for			
appeal; and/or						
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a		ejected claims.				
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a))  4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.		ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324)			
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s		omphant / thenament	(1 102 02 1).			
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s).		, timely filed amendm	ent canceling			
7. $igtiises$ For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a)		vill be entered and an	explanation of			
how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	ovided below or appended.					
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-8,11 and 12</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE		•				
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>	out before or on the date of filing a find sufficient reasons why the affida	Notice of Appeal will <u>r</u> vit or other evidence	not be entered is necessary			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).						
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.  REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER						
11.  The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:  See Continuation Sheet.						
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s)  13. Other:  Hau V Phan Primary Examiner Art Unit: 3618						
13. ☐ Other:	to whom					
V)	cuy".	Hau V Phan				
	11/04/05	Primary Examiner Art Unit: 3618				
	. , ,					

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 7-05)

¥

Application No.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The final is still deem propered. In response to application's argument that the fascia plate in Blake can not function as a step. The examiner disagrees, because Blake discloses the fascia for covering the hitch, but it can be used as a step, when the fascia is in opened position for operator access to the motor vehicle..